

Note to readers with disabilities: *EHP* strives to ensure that all journal content is accessible to all readers. However, some figures and Supplemental Material published in *EHP* articles may not conform to [508 standards](#) due to the complexity of the information being presented. If you need assistance accessing journal content, please contact ehp508@niehs.nih.gov. Our staff will work with you to assess and meet your accessibility needs within 3 working days.

Supplemental Material

Estimating State-Specific Contributions to PM_{2.5}- and O₃-Related Health Burden from Residential Combustion and Electricity Generating Unit Emissions in the United States

Stefani L. Penn, Saravanan Arunachalam, Matthew Woody, Wendy Heiger-Bernays, Yorghos Tripodis, and Jonathan I. Levy

Table of Contents

Table S1. Grouping of sensitivity parameters for PM_{2.5} and O₃

Figure S1: EGU emissions in the continental US by Tier 2 description (year 2005)

Table S2: EGU emissions by state in 2005 (tons/year), sorted by SO₂ emissions

Figure S2: RC emissions by fuel type across the continental US (year 2005)

Table S3. RC emissions by state in 2005 (tons/year), sorted by primary PM_{2.5} emissions

Table S4. CMAQ-DDM run groups for RC and EGUs. States with #1 and #2 were subdivided to reflect different electricity dispatch regions

Table S5. RC-related deaths per year by state for each precursor-pollutant pair (n = 49)

Table S6. EGU-related deaths per year by state for each precursor-pollutant pair (n = 49)

Figure S3. RC-related emissions of primary PM_{2.5} by grid cell in January (left panel) and July (right panel)

Figure S4. EGU-related emissions of SO₂ by grid cell in January (left panel) and July (right panel)

Table S7. RC health damage functions (mortality risk per 1,000 tons of emissions) by state in January. States with the 5 lowest emissions for each pollutant are noted in italics. These values should be interpreted cautiously

Table S8. RC health damage functions (mortality risk per 1,000 tons of emissions) by state in July. States with the 5 lowest emissions for each pollutant are noted in italics. These values should be interpreted cautiously

Table S9. EGU health damage functions (mortality risk per 1,000 tons of emissions) by state in January. States with the 5 lowest emissions for each pollutant are noted in italics. These values should be interpreted cautiously

Table S10. EGU health damage functions (mortality risk per 1,000 tons of emissions) by state in July. States with the 5 lowest emissions for each pollutant are noted in italics. These values should be interpreted cautiously

Figure S5. Scatterplots showing the relationship between health damage functions for RC (x-axis) and EGUs (y-axis) for individual source states related to primary PM_{2.5} (panel a), NO_x related to PM_{2.5} (panel b), SO₂ related to PM_{2.5} (panel c), VOC related to PM_{2.5} (panel d), and O₃ (pane e) for both January (left panel) and July (right panel).

Figure S6. Domain-average O₃ and PM_{2.5}, compared for different periods of CMAQ simulations. (Qtr1 represents Jan-Mar, Qtr2 represents Apr-Jun, Qtr3 represents Jul-Sep and Qtr4 represents Oct-Dec)